Applying Liquid Manure Through Subsurface Drip Instead of Flood Irrigation Reduces N₂O Emissions in Dairy Forage Production



Martin Burger¹, Daniel Rivers², William Horwath¹

¹Dept. of Land, Air and Water Resources, University of California Davis; ²Rivers Consulting, Stockton, CA

Table 2. Nitrous oxide emissions

kg N2O N ha⁻¹

1.8 (± 0.5)

7.5 (± 3.2)

SDI

Flood

Wheat

CO2 eq. ha-1

847

3528

Introduction

- The main driver for increasing N₂O emissions in North America is management of manure and manure application to soils (IPCC 2007).
- California's dairies annually produce 30 million metric tonnes of manure.
- Liquid manure mixed with irrigation water is used, traditionally as flood irrigation, to fertilize forage crops surrounding dairies.

Objectives

- Compare the effects of subsurface drip- and flood-irrigation on yield, water and nitrogen (N) use, and nitrous oxide emissions in silage corn.
- Using liquid and solid manure as sole N sources in subsurface drip and flood irrigated systems, as well as during the winter rainy season.
- Optimize fertigation with liquid manure.

Methods



Location: Chowchilla, California Central Valley. Automated adjustment of manure water flow based on electrical conductivity to keep N concentration constant.

Sand separators and filtration of manure/fresh water blend with periodic back flushing with fresh water.

Prototype system capable of irrigating/fertigating 16 ha corn crop divided into three blocks (max. three 8-hour sets per day).

Nitrous oxide fluxes measured with static chamber technique

 Experimental design: 4 blocks with 2 replications each along the direction of water flow in one sector per treatment.

Table 1. Soil properties

	SDI	Flood
Sand (%)	67	60
Clay (%)	8	10
pН	7.4	7.4
Total C (mg kg ⁻¹)	14.2	13.6
Total N (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.5	1.4

application of solid manure in SDI treatment; incorporation of solid manure by disking in flood treatment.

Strip tillage and surface



Figure 1. Ammonium and nitrate concentrations, daily N₂O emissions, and water inputs during rainfed wheat growing season and in flood- and subsurface drip-irrigated (SDI) corn growing season in 2015/16. Water inputs *via* SDI (corn season) not shown.

Table 3. Crop yields and N uptake

	Wheat		Available N applied	Corn		Available N applied
	Mg ha ⁻¹	kg N ha ⁻¹	kg N ha ⁻¹	Mg ha ⁻¹	kg N ha ⁻¹	kg N ha ⁻¹
SDI	57	338	35	71	206	196
Flood	52	n.a.	418	81	306	380



Corn

CO2 eq. ha-1

180

1699

kg N₂O N ha⁻¹

0.4 (± 0.1)

3.6 (± 0.6)



Figure 2. Soil water tensionFigure 3. Soil water tensionat three depths above dripwith flood irrigation at threetape (A) and in betweendepths near plant rows (A) anddrip tape locations (B).in between plant rows (B).

Table 4. Water applications and water

use efficiency during corn season

	Applied Water			
	corn			
	cm	cm Mg ⁻¹		
SDI	68	0.95		
Flood	83	1.03		

Summary & Conclusions

- Under SDI, N₂O emissions were lower than under flood irrigation by an order of magnitude (Table 2).
- High N₂O emissions in the flood treatment during the rainy season (Figure 1) were due to application of liquid manure in anticipation of a precipitation event to avoid overflowing of the manure pond (Table 3).
- The lower yields with SDI may have been due to water stress during parts of the growing season (Figure 2) and/or the difficulty to supply enough N to corn plants during the period of corn high N demand (Table 3).
- Liquid manure and water quality, in addition to soil type, are critical factors contributing to successful implementation of SDI in these systems.
- The environmental risks with liquid manure storage in California's dairy systems need to be addressed.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

This project was initiated and funded by Sustainable Conservation and carried out in collaboration with Netafim and a local dairy farmer. We appreciate the contributions of Dennis Hannaford, Ladi Asgill, John Cardoza, and Eric Lee.